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INTRODUCTION

Background and Assumptions

This document is a guide for creating functional profiles that conforms to the HL7 EHR-S Functional Model (denoted hereafter FM). Please bear in mind that this document offers guidelines — not binding directives. We will walk you through the basic steps involved in creating a conforming functional profile, including the development of a conformance clause and conformance criteria. We also offer a few general principles to keep in mind as you create the functional profile. Also, we provide guidance on how and why you should consider registering and/or balloting the profile you created. We assume that you are familiar with the FM and are knowledgeable about the requirements associated with a particular EHR-S healthcare delivery setting. Please note that this how-to guide is not a replacement for the EHR-S Chapter 2: Conformance Clause. We encourage you to read Chapter Two carefully. For the remainder of this document, the term profile will be used as shorthand for functional profile.

Building a Conforming Functional Profile

The goal of this guide is to assist in the development of a conforming profile, by making it easier to define the components that comprise the profile. In particular, all conforming profiles contain general information about the profile, a conformance clause, and functions and their criteria. This guide addresses each of these components by leading you through the steps and decisions needed to write the necessary text or criteria for that component. It describes many of the profile rules from the EHR-S FM Chapter 2: Conformance Clause, giving you more insight into what they mean and how to comply with them. It provides a series of steps to assist you in developing conformance criteria for each function. We also provide a worksheet (Appendix A) to assist you in building the profile and ending up with a conforming profile.

What does it mean to have a HL7 conforming profile? It means that you satisfy the rules for profiles found in the EHR-S FM Chapter 2: Conformance Clause. This guide will help you provide the minimal information needed in a conforming profile to satisfy the rules. You can also add additional information, restrictions, etc., into your profile as long as you do not add anything that violates or contradicts the rules.

Functional profiles that are submitted with an attestation of conformance to the EHR-S Functional Model and reviewed by the HL7 EHR TC will be designated as “registered functional profiles”. Functional profiles that undergo formal public scrutiny via the HL7 consensus process as an Informative EHR TC ballot at the committee level will be designated as “HL7 Informative functional profiles”. The process for registering and balloting profiles is described in section 7 of this document. (Note: In select cases, the ballot could be at membership level, resulting in a designation as “HL7 Normative functional profile”.

We recognize that it is not an easy task to write accurate, clear, and unbiased profiles. It takes planning, organization, expertise, and foresight about the needs and use of EHR systems within your profile setting. It is worth the time to create a conforming profile, since conforming profiles:

- provide traceability back to a specific EHR-S Functional Model
- promote consistency among conforming profiles
- enable comparisons between conforming profiles
- foster interoperability of EHR systems.
• promote market awareness and adoption of the profile and EHR FM standard
• help to influence the EHR FM to ensure realistic, implementable functionality and profile rules

Organization of This Guide

This guide is organized into three parts and an appendix.
• Part 1 focuses on creating the profile, including steps to follow and a set of principles to keep in mind
• Part 2 addresses the profile registration and ballot process
• Part 3 provides a FAQ and lessons learned
• Appendix A is a worksheet to help build the profile

It is not necessary to read this document and complete the steps in the order they are presented. Rather, you may find it more suitable to work on the sections in parallel or in an iterative manner or by starting with the conformance criteria section. As you will notice, a major portion of this guide focuses on constructing conformance criteria for profiles. This is because we think it is a difficult, time consuming, and critical aspect in creating a profile.

For More Help...

The HL7 EHR TC Working Groups listed at

http://www.hl7.org/special/committees/list_sub.cfm?list=ehr

are happy to discuss aspects of a care-setting profile. Please coordinate your efforts with them, especially regarding terminology that could be or is in the EHR TC Glossary.
PART 1: Creating a Functional Profile

1. Getting Started

You will need a copy of the EHR-S Functional Model. In particular, you will be using chapter 2 which contains the conformance clause and chapters 3, 4, and 5 which contain the functions – functions related to direct care, supportive and information infrastructure.

The rest of Part 1 is organized around four topic areas: general profile information, creating a conformance clause, selecting functions, and creating conformance criteria. Each area provides a set of steps to help you achieve the goal – a conforming profile. Many of the steps, discussions, and examples in this guide are based on practical experience of people developing profiles as well as discussions among the HL7 EHR Technical Committee on what items in Chapter 2: Conformance Clause mean and how to apply them. Where applicable, we reference the rule or statement from Chapter 2: Conformance Clause that gave rise to the material in this guide. The reference is of the form: [section] or [section, rule #]. For example, [4.3] indicates section 4.3 of Chapter 2 and [6.1.1#3b] indicates section 6.1.1 rule #3b.

2. Providing General Profile Information

A basic requirement of all profiles is to have a unique identify and to convey general information about the profile.

Figure 1. Steps in Providing General Information

Steps

2.1 Provide Identify Information

1. Identify the profile. Besides the profile name, include a version number and the date that it becomes effective (i.e., publication date, date of issuance). [6.1.1#2]. The date is significant, not only to help distinguish this profile from other profile, but also because it is used to define the time frame of the Essential Now priority (see step 3.2).

2. Identify the Functional Model that you are using as the basis for the profile. This is the FM that the profile will claim conformance to. Use the complete citation of the FM, including its version and date. [6.1.1#1]. Identifying the FM from where the functions are selected provides a link or
traceability between the profile and the FM. Thus, it is always possible to get back to the source of the function and its FM criteria.

3. If you are basing your profile on an already existing profile or profiles, then you need to also identify those functional profiles. This is usually the case if the profile you are creating is a derived profile. [6.3#1, 6.3#2].

4. If you are also using other standards or specifications as references or within criteria, then you also need to identify them. Don’t forget to include all relevant information, including the document’s full title, version, data, and the organization that published the document. [6.1.2#3].

2.2 Provide Description Information

Let readers know what the profile is about so that they can determine if it is relevant to them. [6.1.1#2]. It sets the stage for what follows, for why the profile is created, and for how to use the profile. Include a description and definition of the care setting or application area targeted by the profile. It is always helpful to describe the rationale, scope, and audience of the profile. Providing examples of what is in scope and what is out of scope is one way to do this.

3. Creating the Conformance Clause

The conformance clause provides the answer to the important question: what is required to claim conformance? It provides communication between the profile creators, EHR system developers, certification and testing organizations, users, and the community as to what is required and gives meaning to the phrase, “conforming system” or “conforming functional profile”. Moreover, it facilitates the consistent application of conformance within a profile and across related profiles.

Figure 2 Steps in Creating a Conformance Clause

Steps

3.1 Define Normative Keywords

Readers need to be able to differentiate requirements in the profile from non-requirements. Use a consistent set of keywords (aka normative verbs) to convey conformance requirements and tell the reader what that is. [3]. In the FM, we used the ISO preferred keywords and defined them as follows:

- **SHALL** – indicates a mandatory, required action. Synonymous with ‘is required’.
- **SHOULD** – indicates an optional, recommended action that is particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding other actions. Synonymous with ‘is permitted and recommended’.
**MAY** – indicates an optional, permissible action. Synonymous with ‘is permitted’

The easiest thing to do is just to copy this into your profile and label the section Normative Language. If you have additional or different keywords, then add them to this list. For example, you may wish to add ‘Shall Not’, ‘Required’, ‘Optional’ and their definitions. Only include the keywords that you use.

Within the profile, distinguish these keywords with distinctive formatting, such as upper case and/or bold. This helps to quickly find them as well as providing a good way to differentiate the normative keywords apart from when they are used in an informative sentence.

It is always a good idea to label sections or parts within the document as Normative, Informative, or Reference. Normative content is the prescriptive part of the specification, whereas informative and reference are for informational purposes and assists in the understanding and use of the profile. This helps to make sure the reader can find the normative content, knows for sure that it is normative, and doesn’t fail to notice it.

### 3.2 Define Priority Timeframe

All functions are assigned a priority – Essential Now, Essential Future, or Optional. [5.3]. The terms Essential Now and Essential Future are used by some in the EHR community; however, not everyone reading the profile is familiar with these terms. Thus, include a definition of these terms in your profile. (Note: section 4.3 below is where you will be assigning priorities to functions. This section is about defining the terms and including them in your profile’s conformance clause.)

**Essential Now (EN):** You can use the definition given in Chapter 2, section 5.3, where we have defined the timeframe for Essential Now to be ‘as of the publication or issuance of the profile’. Remember, a function labeled EN means that it is a mandatory function, that is, all systems must implement it and all derived profiles must inherit this function.

**Essential Future (EF):** Essential Future is like a hybrid priority, combining priorities Essential Now and Optional. Initially, Essential Future is equivalent to Optional and then at the specified timeframe, Essential Future becomes equivalent to Essential Now.

You will need to qualify the timeframe for Essential Future – when is the future? Is it a date in the future? Is it a set number of months and if so, when does the clock start ticking? Is it an event? Thus, somewhere in your profile, we suggest the conformance clause, it is essential that you define the timeframe for when Essential Future functions are no longer optional. [6.1.1#3e]. A timeframe can be a specific date (e.g., month/day/year), a time allotment (e.g., 18 months after profile publication, year-2008) or an event (e.g., republication of this profile).

It is permissible to have multiple timeframes defined for EF. This provides a way to indicate different timeframes for different functions – very useful for giving a roadmap of when you want functions to be implemented. For example, label some functions EF-2008 and other functions EF-2009. The format is always: EF-xxx, where xxx is the qualifier.

**Optional:** A priority of Optional means just that – the function is optional and does not need to be implemented. This means that derived profiles do not need to include this function. It also means that EHR systems can ignore this function. However, if a system does implement the function, the function must be implemented correctly, including implementing all criteria containing the keyword ‘shall’.
Yes, you need to use the terms Essential Now, Essential Future, and Optional. What if you don’t like these priority terms or you don’t need them since you have another way to designate mandatory and optional functions? Simple. Just explain this and provide your alternative designations along with a mapping of your alternative designations to the FM priority designations. Including a rationale for using alternative designations is recommended.

**Priorities vs. criteria – what’s the difference?**

Priorities pertain to the timing of when the function gets implemented by the system. Criteria (those statements with ‘shall’, ‘should’ or ‘may’) define what it means to actually implement or support the function within a system. For more explanation, see Part 3: FAQ #2.

### 3.3 Define Requirements for Claims

State what the profile defines conformance for. Do this with a statement such as, “This name-of-care-setting profile defines conformance for EHR systems and profiles derived from this name-of-care-setting profile.

This is where you could indicate any special grouping of functions, for example a minimal set of functions that always get implemented or get inherited by derived profiles; or a set of functions that depend on each other – so that if you implement one of them, you must implement them all.

What are the rules for an EHR system to claim conformance? [6.1.1#3a]. Must all the functions be implemented or only some of the functions. If only some functions, which ones? The priorities Essential Now and Essential Future can be used to indicate the timeframe for when functions are to be implemented. Are there any special circumstances where different EHR systems would implement a different set of functions? If so, then that needs to be explicitly described. Are there conformance designations, such as levels of conformance? An example of a profile that defines 2 levels of conformance as well as other conformance clause examples can be found in Chapter 2, Section 7.2 Sample functional profile conformance clauses.

Make sure that when you define your profile, its functions and priorities that at least one function is required to be implemented by the EHR system. [6.1.1#3f].

What are the rules for derived profiles to claim conformance? [6.1.1#3b]. Include a statement such as “all derived profiles SHALL include all functions designated as Essential Now”. [6.1.1#3c]. If there is a minimal set of functions for the profile, make sure that it gets inherited by the derived profile (note: these are probably the functions designated as Essential Now).

### 3.4 Determine Extensibility

Extensibility provides the ability to add functionality or criteria beyond what is defined in the FM. [6.2].

In the conformance clause you are writing, you may want to discuss whether derived profiles are allowed to add new children functions or new criteria. You also may want to discuss whether EHR systems are allowed to add new functionality. That is what this section is about – that is, what to say in your profile’s conformance clause. See sections 4.2 and 5.4 below, if you want to know how you can add children functions and criteria to your profile.
What about derived profiles? Do you want to allow derived profiles to add new children functions? [6.3#2].

- If this is a profile that others will build upon, e.g., a minimal function set profile which provides a foundation from which derived profiles will start; then yes.
- If this is a profile from which derived profiles are to be a proper subset (i.e., no adding of functions); then no.

If you want to allow derived profiles to create new children functions, indicate this and point to Chapter 2, Section 6.2 Rules for creating new children functions in functional profiles.

If you want to prevent derived profiles from creating new functions, explicitly state this. It is also helpful to explain why derived profiles can not add functionality. Reasons may include:

- believing the profile is complete, self-sufficient and doesn’t need additional functionality, or
- by being a subset, the derived profile is not a new specification but a constrained version of the base profile; or
- being less of an impediment to predictability and interoperability among profiles by not introducing differences between profiles and the EHR systems that implement them.

What about EHR systems? EHR systems will most probably include functionality beyond what is specified in the profile. It is important that this additional functionality in the EHR system does not contradict or negate the functionality specified by the profile and thus, interfere with (break) conformance to the profile. Consider including in the conformance clause the following statement: Additional functionality SHALL NOT contradict nor cause the non-conformance of functionally defined in the profile,
4. Selecting Functions

Figure 3 Steps in Choosing a Function

Steps

4.1 Choose Function

Choose a function from the FM that meets your profile’s functional needs. Read the statement, description and criteria and determine if this function is applicable to your profile.

- If the function is not applicable, skip it and look at the next function.
If the function is applicable as is, go to Step 4.3.
If the function is not quite right – e.g., it may be missing functionality or not adequately specified – continue to the next step.

**Nomenclature alignment**
Do not change the name of a function or its statement, except to align it to realm specific nomenclature. [5.2]. In non-U.S. realms, there may be some words in the FM that do not mean the same thing as was intended. For example, a ‘provider’ in the U.K. refers to the ‘provider organization’ which is more limited than what is intended when used in the FM. Thus, limited, but controlled localization is allowed. If you change a function name or statement, append the ISO 3166 2-letter country code to the function ID, e.g., DC#.GB, S#.#.FR.

The HL7 affiliate for each realm should establish a list of the functions and/or statements that are modified with a mapping to the FM function name and statement. This will help to ensure consistent use for profiles in that realm as well as promote awareness of these modifications. Profiles are encouraged to include this mapping in an appendix.

Alternately, if the name or statement isn’t quite right, you can explain this in the function’s ‘Description’ and provide the name or statement changes here. This is the only way to indicate variations of a different function name or statement in U.S. profiles.

**FM ID alignment**
In your profile, try to keep the same function IDs as those in the FM. If this isn’t possible, then renumber as necessary, but retain the ‘DC’, ‘S’, and ‘IN’ prefixes. Needing to renumber can happen since you are selecting functions and perhaps adding new children functions.

To maintain traceability back to the FM, we recommend keeping track of the mapping between your IDs and the FM’s IDs. The worksheet in Appendix A illustrates one way to do this – see the column labeled ‘ID#’ under ‘FM Source’.

### 4.2 Create Children

We realize that the FM may not accommodate all the varied, unique, and evolving needs of users in specific care settings, practice areas, or realms. Profiles are the place where these needs can be taken care of. This means that if you need to add a new child function or add additional criteria, you can. New criteria could be added to further constrain the function. Only new children functions (created by splitting a function or adding a sibling function) are allowed. A sibling function is a child function that has a brother or sister. Remember, all children functions must have a parent. Note that any addition of non-children functions would be outside the scope of a conforming HL7 EHR-S profile and consequently not part of the claim of conformance for that profile.

a) Use conformance criteria [6.2#1].
Try to avoid splitting a function or creating a sibling function - use conformance criteria instead. This is the preferred method. Adding conformance criteria usually works for functions that are too broad or not adequately specified. Consider constraining an existing criterion or adding a new criterion that reflects the capability you need.

b) Split a function [6.2#2].
If using conformance criteria doesn’t do the job, then you can split a function to create new children functions. Only leaf functions (i.e., those that do not have other functions under it) can be split.
[6.2#4]. The conformance criteria in the original leaf function get distributed among its new children functions [6.1#5b]. There are various ways to do this including:
- put all the original criteria in one child and create new criteria in the other children
- distribute the original criteria across all the children
- put the 'shall' criteria in all the children and create new criteria where needed

Don't forget:
- all children functions need to have at least 1 'shall' criteria, [6.1.1#5a]
- all children functions need to be assigned a priority

You should end up with the original leaf function becoming a parent with children – hence a non-leaf function. Figure 4 illustrates a function that has been split to create three new children.

**Figure 4 Splitting a function**

![Diagram of splitting a function]

c) Create a sibling. [6.2#3].
Another way to add a function is to add a sibling, that is, add a new child function to a parent that already has children. Don’t forget to add criteria for this new child function and include at least one ‘SHALL’ criterion. [6.1.1#5a].

**Figure 5 Adding a new child function**

![Diagram of adding a new child function]

Function IN 4.4 is added as a new child which is a sibling to IN 4.1, IN 4.2, and IN 4.3..

You can not add a sibling that does not have a parent (see Figure 6). Specifically, you can not add a sibling at the topmost level of the FM.
Figure 6 Illegal addition of a child

These are the only way to add new functions to a profile or if allowed, a derived profile and still end up with a conforming profile. The addition of non-children functions is nonstandard. If there are non-children functions associated with the profile, there needs to be a clear distinction that they are not part of the conforming profile and they SHALL NOT change the behavior of functions or facilities defined by the conforming profile.

4.3 Assign a Priority

Now that you have selected a function, assign a priority to it. [6.1.1#4]. You can do this in various ways, for example, assign a priority to each individual function or group functions together and assign a priority to the group. It doesn’t matter how you do this as long as there is a priority associated with each function. See section 3.2 for more information about priorities – this is where you defined the priorities.

All functions, including header functions are assigned a priority. When assigning a priority to a header function – think through the implications. Priorities are not subject to inheritance – that is, a priority assigned to a parent does not necessarily get assigned to a child. If you want priorities to be inherited by children functions, then use the conformance clause to state this. In fact, to improve clarity, use the conformance clause either way - to state whether priorities are or are not inherited.

Example situations – priorities not inherited:
1. Header DC2 = EN means that clinical decision support is mandatory. You could (try to avoid this) assign all children of DC2 a priority of Optional. This could result in no DC2 functions being implemented. Bad!

2. Header S3.2 = Optional, S3.2.1= EN and both S3.2.2 and S3.2.3=Optional. This means that all (header and children) S3.2 information access for supplemental use functions are optional and need not be implemented. But, if S3.2 is implemented, then S3.2.1 must also be implemented. The other children functions (S3.2.2 and S3.2.3) may or may not be implemented.

It can be a challenge to assign the right priority, especially when your profile will be used across a wide diversity of settings or specialties.
Consider how widespread the need for this function is – is it used by everyone or just a segment of your stakeholders? Consider the availability of the function – is it widely supported in most systems? Consider the criticality of having the function – is it something that must be part of the system?

Remember: Any function assigned Essential Now SHALL be inherited with the Essential Now rating by all subsequent derived profiles.

When a function seems to apply to some but not other types of care settings or organizations within a specialties’ scope, consider giving the function an ‘optional’ priority and explain why you did this. See Part 3, #4 and #6 for more in-depth discussion and alternatives.

4.4 Go to Create Criteria

You can either create conformance criteria for each function as you select or create it, or you may want to select a set of functions prior to creating criteria, or establish the entire set of functions and then create criteria. It doesn’t matter how you do it, as long as you eventually have criteria for each function.

5. Creating Conformance Criteria

Basis for a Profile’s Conformance Criteria

In general, profiles inherit conformance criteria directly from the FM or derive conformance criteria that are based on the FM’s conformance criteria. Similarly, a derived profile uses conformance criteria taken directly or derived from its base profile(s) and the FM’s conformance criteria. If your goal is to develop conformance criteria for a profile, we encourage you to review the following steps carefully.

Structure of Conformance Criteria

Conformance criteria in the FM and those that you will create can be structured as follows:

1. Simple form: Actor followed by normative verb followed by action or property
   Example:
   The system SHALL capture demographic information as part of the patient record.

2. Conditional form:
   If condition, then Actor followed by normative verb followed by action

   The way this works is that if the condition is true, then the following text applies. If the condition is not met (i.e., false) then ignore the rest of the sentence.
   Example:
   IF data is exchanged with internal or external systems, THEN the system SHALL conform to function IN 5.1 (Interchange Standards)
3. ‘Dependent Shall’ form: Actor followed by normative verb followed by action/interaction followed by ‘according to scope of practice, organizational policy or jurisdictional law’. See section 5.6 below and Chapter 2: section 8 for a more thorough explanation.

Example:
The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations to principals according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.

---

**Steps**

**5.1. Start with a Function and Its Conformance Criteria**

Once you have a function, review its conformance criteria carefully. For each of that function’s conformance criterion, consider:
- Using it “as is” (via inheritance),
- Making it more specific and/or more applicable to your profile (see Step 5.4),
- Making it mandatory if it was optional (see Step 5.8),
- Rejecting it – not inheriting it.

A profile must inherit all the FM function’s mandatory (i.e., ‘shall’) criteria, if there are any. If there are no mandatory criteria, at least one resultant criterion associated with the profile function needs to be made mandatory. [6.1.1#5a]. This can be done by changing an existing optional criterion to a ‘shall’ criterion or creating a new ‘shall’ criterion. 6.1.1#5c].

**Remember** – don’t forget to look at the criteria found at the section header and/or functional header levels. These criteria get inherited (i.e., apply) by all functions within that section or under that
5.2 Refine General Concepts and Assumptions

From the selected function’s Conformance Criteria, Statement and Description, try to refine the overall concepts, underlying assumptions, and the meaning that must hold true for your profile. Often these concepts are unwritten, with the assumption that the reader knows the basic premises or concepts that comprise a profile. When crafting conformance criteria, however, it is a good idea not to rely on unwritten assumptions and describe the profile in terms of it successfully conforming to the conformance criteria that you select, derive, or generate for the profile.

Example
It is implicitly assumed, but not always stated, that workflow tasks must start, progress, and terminate – regardless of care setting. Without each of these phases, a workflow task is unsound. Well-formed conformance criteria address each phase explicitly.

5.3 Identify Needed Actors and Interactions

Next, you’ll be starting the process to tailor a (general) function of the FM to a (specific) functional requirement of your profile. The objective is to start thinking about a function’s criteria and how to evolve them to reflect the profile’s needs. Having read a selected FM function’s Conformance Criteria, Statement and Description which depicts a generalized function that covers all profiles, ask, “Who is being asked to do what for my specific profile with regard to this function?” Thus, you’ll need to:

• Identify the specific actors.
The system is the most obvious ‘actor’, but may not be the only one. Since the FM is comprised of functions that appear in EHR Systems, that is, where the system is the actor, many FM conformance criteria start with the phrase “The system…”. However, from the point of view of your profile, there may be additional actors (see Table 2, column (2) of S.1.3.1). Therefore, the actors listed in the conformance criteria for profiles often show more variety than FM conformance criteria because profiles address and refine specialized care settings. (Compare columns (1) and (2) below in Table 2.)

• Identify the interactions (or actions).
Think about the action or influence resulting from the function. What gets accomplished by having this function? Is the function providing a capability, causing or enabling an action to occur, changing a behavior? Another way to think about this is via the actor, e.g., does the actor perform a certain action when a given condition arises or supply specific information when a given condition arises?

• Determine applicability – mandatory or optional status
When addressing applicability of each criterion, think about whether the profile’s conformance criteria will be mandatory or optional, and if optional, is it something that is recommended. This determination will drive the normative keyword chosen (see Step 5.8). Remember, you cannot take a mandatory criterion from the FM and reduce its status to optional. A mandatory criterion must remain mandatory.
Table 2 Example Criteria for (1) FM and (2) Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FM Function</th>
<th>FM Statement*</th>
<th>FM Description*</th>
<th>(1) Conformance Criteria for FM*</th>
<th>(2) Conformance Criteria for Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC.1.3.1</td>
<td>Capture, review, and manage medical procedural/surgical, social and family history including the capture of pertinent positive and negative histories, patient-reported or externally available patient clinical history.</td>
<td>The history of the current illness and patient historical data related to previous medical diagnoses, surgeries and other procedures performed …</td>
<td>• The system SHALL provide the ability to present patient histories. • The system SHOULD capture the reason for visit/encounter from the patient’s perspective.</td>
<td>• A system SHALL capture, store, display and manage patient history. • A system SHALL allow users to capture the reason for the visit from the patient’s perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.1.5</td>
<td>Create and maintain patient-specific problem lists.</td>
<td>A problem list may include, but is not limited to: Chronic conditions, diagnoses, or symptoms, functional limitations, visit or stay-specific conditions, diagnoses, or symptoms.</td>
<td>• The system SHALL capture, display and report all active problems associated with a patient.</td>
<td>• The system SHALL capture, display and report all active problems associated with a patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.1.3.1</td>
<td>Provide a current registry or directory of practitioners that, contains data needed to determine levels of access required by the EHR-S</td>
<td>Provider information may include any credentials, certifications, or any other information that may be used to verify that a practitioner is permitted to use or access authorized data.</td>
<td>• The system SHOULD provide a registry or directory of all clinical personnel who currently use or access the system. • For licensed practitioners the directory SHOULD contain realm-specific legal identifiers required for care delivery such as the practitioners’ license number.</td>
<td>• The system SHALL provide a registry of all clinical personnel who currently use or access the system. • For the Prescriber, the following information SHALL be supplied either by user entry or through a supporting system: Name, Gov’t license number, Address, Phone Number, System access level. • For the Prescriber, the following information SHOULD be requested: Identification such as DEA number, National Provider ID, and/or Health Plan ID number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM Function</td>
<td>FM Statement*</td>
<td>FM Description*</td>
<td>(1) Conformance Criteria for FM*</td>
<td>(2) Conformance Criteria for Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN.2.4</td>
<td>Manage data extraction in accordance with analysis and reporting requirements. The extracted data may require use of more than one application and it may be pre-processed …</td>
<td>An EHR-S enable an authorized user, such as a clinician, to access and aggregate the distributed information, which corresponds to the health record or records that are needed for viewing, reporting, disclosure, etc. …</td>
<td>• The system SHALL allow extraction of health record data. • The system SHOULD provide secure data exchange capabilities (function IN.1.6). • The system SHOULD provide de-identification functionality for extracted information. • The system SHOULD enable data extraction in standard-based formats (function IN.5.1).</td>
<td>• The system SHALL allow extraction of health record data. • The system SHALL provide secure data exchange capabilities. • The system SHALL have the ability to export (extract) data out of the system. • The system SHALL have the ability to import data into the system. • The system SHALL have the ability to remove discrete patient identifiers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: full text of the FM function’s statement, description, and conformance criteria is not always given. Please refer to the FM for the full text.

### 5.4 Inherit or Create the Function’s Conformance Criteria

If you are inheriting any conformance criteria directly from the FM, simply copy it into your list of conformance criteria for your profile’s function. [4.1].

If you are modifying conformance criteria from the FM, then in essence you have a ‘jump start to creating new criteria. Continue reading this section.

When selecting and adopting criteria from the FM, what you select and create should not fundamentally alter the intent of the function. [4.1]. Take care that the criteria you select does not weaken the function.

If you are not inheriting conformance criteria for your profile, you must create it. When doing so, use short, succinct sentences. If you have to decide between being concise or being clear, be clear. — And if you need extra space in order to state a criterion precisely, do so. In general, use one sentence for each concept or fact. If you have a list of items, consider creating separate criteria for each. However, it may make sense to keep the list intact within a single criterion. When a criterion involves choosing among items, indicate clearly how many must be chosen, e.g., exactly one, at least one, or more than one. Consider what it means to implement the function for the profile and how the function should be refined, constrained, or extended.

To build distinct criteria:

a. Identify actors or components—for example, system, patient, registry, or network).

b. Identify the interaction (action)(for example, condition to hold true, behavior, or actions to take).

c. Think about what the system will do automatically and what it will provide the ability for an authorized user to do.

d. Consider how many items of a given list must be implemented. Is it legitimate to select
zero items? Only one item? One or more items? Must all of the items be implemented or can the user choose certain items?

e. Consider whether a certain range must be specified or whether conformance criteria ought to be written to test minimum and maximum values.

f. Consider whether a criterion is conditional upon something being in place or on whether an action has occurred. If so, indicate the condition, for example, by prefacing the criterion with, "IF x, THEN …".

g. Consider whether the requirement is mandatory or optional. (See Step 5.8.)

h. Consider whether the function’s importance and/or immediacy needs to be indicated by assigning it a priority (namely, essential now, essential future, or optional).

i. Once you have expressed each criterion in individual sentences, consider whether some of those sentences ought to be combined. Also, consider whether certain combinations yield new situations, behaviors, or actions that need to be captured.

j. Consider whether a general concept applies to the function, even though it wasn’t explicitly described, for example, a system that needs connectivity, or a system that needs the ability to be maintained and updated. Draft one or more short, clear, complete sentences to capture such information.

Remember, compose one sentence for each concept or fact that will be tested for conformance.

Use words with agreed-upon meanings

Make sure that everyone shares a common understanding of your technical words. Break down words that imply multiple components – that is, words connoting or denoting compound actions or items. Be specific and careful with the words you use in your conformance criteria. Some words seem to be synonyms, but aren’t. For example:

- Manage vs. maintain
- Display vs. present
- Vocabulary vs. terminology
- Sex vs. gender
- Practitioner vs. provider vs. clinical personnel.

The word “manage” is a higher order verb than “maintain,” “create,” “read,” “write,” “update,” or “mark for removal from view,” etc. “Manage” is therefore used in the Name, but the more detailed, explanatory verbs are used in the FM function’s statement, description, and conformance criteria. In your conformance Criteria, if you have the word ‘manage’, replace it with a verb that describes the actual action the criterion should perform, see Figure 6. This may cause the addition of new conformance criteria because the word “manage” was too nebulous and sometimes needs to be broken into multiple criteria.
Figure 6 “MANAGE” Hierarchy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MANAGE</th>
<th>Capture</th>
<th>Maintain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input Device (Ext.)</td>
<td>Create (Int.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other words to watch for:

- User, clinician, person, and other terms referring to an individual/role. In the FM criteria, provider was used rather than clinician.
- Data vs. information. In the FM, data was used rather than information.
- Capture is a higher order verb. Use it in other areas when you really mean input from a number of methods (e.g., from a device, key entry, etc.). Use the detail level verbs when a certain input method is required.
- Integrate can be viewed as (1) “Low” level integration (i.e. document received and indexed appropriately for retrieval) – state action as “receive and store”; or (2) “High” level integration (i.e. data elements able to be used) – state action as “integrate into the patient record”.

If there are words that need to be defined, identify them, share them with the group, and offer them for inclusion in the glossary.

Clean up the wording
Think through each criterion and whether it is something that the system should do automatically or not.

- In each conformance criterion, search for such verbs as “able,” “enable,” “facilitate,” “support,” “allow,” and others where it is unclear what measurable action the conformance criterion is performing. Change these verbs/instances to the phrase, “provide the ability to”. This will cause the entire sentence to be reworded, and/or change the order of conformance criteria.
- Some criteria are written such that the system would automatically perform that step, when it really should provide the ability for an authorized user to do so. These criteria will need to be changed using the “provide the ability to” format.
- It is rare that a criterion that already uses the “provide the ability to” format should be changed to one in which the system should do the activity automatically. However, in those instances, convert the criterion by removing the “provide the ability to” phrase.

5.5 Specify the Standards in ‘Standards-Based’

Within a function’s criteria, there may be criteria that include the text ‘standards-based’. For example,

IN.1.6 Secure Data Exchange has the criterion: The system SHALL support standards-based encryption mechanisms if encryption is used for secure data exchange.
This criterion, although appropriate in the FM and perhaps some profiles (e.g., those that are purposely general to allow constraints and specificity to be done by its derived profiles), is effectively useless unless all parties involved in building, testing, buying, using, and interfacing with the system have a common understanding of what is meant by ‘standards-based’. Basically, which standards? Just saying standards-based isn’t enough to ensure interoperability.

Each high-level statement about standards conformance (e.g., support standards-based encryption mechanisms) needs to be replaced with the specific standards, specifications, profiles, etc., to which conformance is required. [6.1.2#3]. The more detail you can provide the better - Include as much specificity regarding the standard, specification, etc., as possible, e.g., version(s), declarations of which optional features to include/exclude, declarations of which profiles apply, any other conditions or limitations that pertain to conformance. Another thing to consider is to include statements (e.g., a service agreement) on maintaining conformance to updated or successor versions of the standard you are referring to.

The reason this rule (6.1.2#3) is not a ‘shall’ rule is that there may be reasons not to replace ‘standards-based’ with the specific standards, for example: a general profile from which derived profiles will define the standards or a profile for an RFP and the desire is to have the RFP bidders state the standards they use in their system. If the reason is the former (i.e., allowing derived profiles to specify the standards), consider adding a requirement or rule in your conformance clause that derived profiles ‘shall’ replace the text ‘standards-based’ with the specific standards.

### 5.6 ‘Dependent SHALL’

‘Dependent SHALL’ criteria are used to call attention to criteria that we want you as profile developers to examine carefully with respect to situational conditions such as policy, organizational, and/or legal implications. [4.2]. It is used for criterion that under certain circumstances should be ‘SHALL’ criterion. Your job is to determine for each dependent shall criterion what if any jurisdictional laws apply, are there any organizational policies, and is there any scope of practice considerations – for example, constraints needed for behavioral health. Section 8 of Chapter2: Conformance Clause describes how to interpret and apply the ‘dependent shall’.

When you encounter a ‘dependent SHALL’:
1st Copy it to your profile – don’t change it. [6.1.1#6a].
2nd Determine if there are scope of practice concerns, organizational policies, or jurisdictional laws that apply. If yes, then using the ‘dependent SHALL’ as the basis, create a new criterion that reflects the dependencies that apply. Although you can create a single criterion to reflect all the dependencies, consider creating separate criteria for each dependency – it is usually clearer to understand. [6.1.1#6b].
3rd Write an explanation of what the dependency is - giving any citations and references or explain why none of these dependencies apply. [6.1.1#6c].
4th Add additional criteria if you want.

The reasons you need to copy the ‘dependent SHALL’ to the profile are:
- It is a ‘shall’ and ‘shall’s always transfer to the profile and any derived profiles.
- To make sure it doesn’t get lost when morphing it into a more specific criterion. We want to preserve it so that it can be seen and used by derived profiles and other profile users as well as be intact if there are future dependencies that arise and need to be reflected by the criterion.
The explanation of the dependency or why there is no dependency can be located with each criterion or put in an appendix or other section. Multiple criteria can reference the same explanation.

Examples:
Let's assume we are building an ambulatory U.S. profile that will be used within behavioral health (BH) programs.

Extracting from FM function IN1.2, Entity Authorization, conformance criteria #3, #4 and #5:

CC#3 The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations to principals according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.

CC#4 The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations for roles according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.

CC#5 The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations within contexts according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.

In the profile, copy all 3 of the above criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN.1.3 Entity Authorization</th>
<th>a) The system <strong>SHALL</strong> enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations to principals according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) The system <strong>SHALL</strong> enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations for roles according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) The system <strong>SHALL</strong> enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations within contexts according to scope of practice, organizational policy, or jurisdictional law.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this profile, dependencies exist, as follows:
Add a new criterion that modifies CC#3 to account for HIPAA

| IN.1.3 Entity Authorization | d) The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations to principals in accordance with HIPAA. |

Add a new criterion that 'raises' the dependent shall CC#4 to a 'shall' (without dependency), thus it is always in effect and not contingent on any situational conditions.

| IN.1.3 Entity Authorization | e) The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations for roles. |

To address substance abuse programs, 42 CFR Part 2 is considered applicable, so add a new criterion that modifies CC#5

| IN.1.3 Entity Authorization | f) The system **SHALL** enable EHR-S security administrators to grant authorizations within contexts in accordance with 42 CFR Part 2. |

To complete the profile, add an explanation for criteria d), e) and f) and include the full citation for HIPAA and 42CFR Part 2.

Let's look at another profile. Assume that another profile is created, similar to the one above, but for a small BH provider EHR where CC#5 does not apply – however, the authors want to recommend it as a best practice. Again, all original dependent shalls are restated. However, the application of the dependent shalls is only done for CC #3 and CC#4; CC#5 is now a recommendation.
**5.7 Referencing Other Criteria or Functions**

It may be the case that a given function depends on another function or on a specific criterion associated with another function. You need to indicate such dependencies and refer to the associated function or criterion.

Take care to consider the ramifications of referencing another function –
- Are all the referenced function’s criteria applicable?
- What about the normative keywords used with the referenced function’s criteria – any SHALL criteria are automatically mandatory; but look also at the optional (SHOULD and MAY) criteria, do you still want them to be optional?
- What about the referenced function’s priority? Is it the same or different than the ‘calling’ function? If it is different, does it make sense to have the different priorities?

Take care to consider the ramifications of referencing a criterion in another function –
- Does the referenced function have the normative verb (‘shall’, ‘should’ ‘may’) that is appropriate for the ‘calling’ function?
- Does the referenced criterion make sense when looking at all the ‘calling’ functions criteria?
- Does the referenced criterion make sense when taken out of context of its function?

If the criterion references another function in the profile, then provide the function name and its ID. [4.3].

**Case 1:** If Criterion-W requires that another function (call this F-1) be implemented and W explicitly names function F-1, then all the ‘Shall’ criteria of function F-1 apply.

*Example:*
IN.1.8 - Information Attestation: The system SHALL conform to function IN.1.1 (Entity Authentication) to provide authentication capabilities.
This criterion requires function IN.1.1 Entity Authentication and thus, all ‘shall’ conformance criteria for function IN.1.1 are also required and automatically become part of satisfying function IN.1.8 Information Attestation. Think of it like this – the ‘shall’ criteria of IN.1.1 are virtually appended to the list of criteria for IN.1.8.

If the criterion references a criterion in another function, then rewrite the referenced criterion and indicate where it came from. [4.3]

**Case 2:** If a criterion requires a specific criterion from another function (call this function F-
2, criterion X), then only criterion X of function F-2 applies.

Example:
S.1.3.5 - Team/Group of Providers Registry or Directory: The system SHOULD conform to IN.3 Registry and Directory Services, conformance criteria #13 (The system MAY provide the ability to use registries or directories to identify healthcare resources and devices for resource management purposes).

5.8 Establish Applicability – Shall, Should, or May

Now you must decide whether the criterion is mandatory or optional. Look carefully at the language used by the selected function’s conformance criteria, and also its Statement and Description. You must make at least one of the selected function’s conformance criteria mandatory. [6.1.1#5a]. If there are ‘shall’ criteria, the profile inherits all of these.[6.1.1#5b]. You can’t pick and choose – you inherit them all. If there are no ‘shall’ criteria in the FM, then you must make at least one of the optional criteria mandatory or create a new mandatory criterion (see Figure 7, below, third column). [6.1.1#5c]. ‘Shall’ criterion express a core or basic feature of the function – that is, without the criterion you don't have needed functionality. If a profile criterion is mandatory, then some part of it is also mandatory in a further, derived profile.

Remember: all functional criteria rated as ‘shall’ in your profile SHALL be inherited with that ‘shall’ rating by all subsequent derived profiles.

‘Shall’ is used when a criterion is the essence of the function – that is, you don’t have the function without this feature or capability. ‘Should’ and ‘may’ indicates optional features, capabilities, etc. associated with that function.

Remember:
- A FM ‘shall’ always remains as a ‘shall’ in the profile. [6.1.1#5b]
- A FM ‘should’ can
  - remain as a ‘should’ in the profile,
  - be changed to a ‘shall’ or ‘may’ in the profile, [6.1.2#4].
  - be omitted from the profile.
- A FM ‘may’ can
  - remain as a ‘may’ in the profile,
  - be changed to a ‘shall’ or ‘should’ in the profile, [6.1.2#5].
  - be omitted from the profile.
5.9 Group and Order the Function’s Criteria

Now that you have created a set of criteria, it makes sense to group related criteria together. You can also make the order in which the criteria are listed significant. [6.1.2#8]. Try to group them into logical, related sets.

We recommend ordering the criteria according to a natural and logical flow. For example, input should come before processing, which comes before reporting, etc. When you read down the column, your thought processes should not be interrupted and you should clearly see the function’s behavior from one step to the next.

If there seems to be a missing step between two criteria, it may mean that new criteria may need to be developed.

We don’t recommend placing all the ‘shall’s’ first, followed by the ‘should’s’, and then the ‘may’s’. That results in an artificial order and the reader’s thoughts are greatly interrupted. The reader may be confused, causing them to put the criteria in order mentally before assessing whether or not the verbs should be what they are. Putting such an effort on the reader could result in an incorrect conclusion that the function is disorganized, and that there is “something missing.” One does not want this reader reaction when seeking to provide understanding or seeking agreement on the applicability and merits of the use of the profile (potentially seeking an affirmative vote).
It’s better to have the conformance criteria in their natural order with the ‘shall’, ‘should’, and ‘may’ interspersed as the order of the criteria dictates. It’s an easier mental operation for the reader to say, “Yes, this is the next criterion that should follow, and it should be a ‘should’. All makes sense to me.”

Criteria traceability
We recommend that you keep track of the origins of the criteria – and whether it is modified or unchanged from that within the FM and/or base profile. This may be important when questions arise as to where did it come from, why did you choose or modify it, etc. It can also be helpful to have traceability back to the FM criteria for when you revise your profile or for derived profiles.

There are several ways to accomplish this traceability of criteria – from adding an artifact to each criterion to the use of colors, fonts and strikeouts. We recommend adding a column and notation to indicate the FM’s criterion number (or its row #) and an ‘action code’ such as: N/C for no change; A for added; M for modified. The worksheet in Appendix A illustrates this.

Creating Inherited criteria
If you find that there are criteria that are common among all sibling functions, consider making them ‘over-arching’, inherited criteria. Inherited criteria is a fancy way of saying that you are putting all the criteria that apply to all siblings in the functional header or in the section header, rather than repeating the criteria in each sibling. It is basically a shorthand efficient way to list the criteria, without having to duplicate the same criteria in each sibling or child function. The criteria at the higher level of the hierarchy are inherited (i.e., apply) by all the children and any grandchildren. There are no exceptions to this – that is, the criteria are inherited by all functions below the header. Figure 8 illustrates this concept.

Figure 8 Inherited criteria

In Figure 7, A, B, C are criteria. Rather than rewriting these criteria in each child, put it in the parent. This is not only convenient, but may help in reducing errors associated by having the same criteria repeated in all the children.

5.10 Check for Dependencies and Co-relations
As we have seen, some functions specify general categories or need more information to be testable. This information comes from care settings, specialties, realms, etc. Go ahead and provide the additional information in the criteria or if necessary add a child or split a function (see Section 4.2).

Examples
• DC.1.4.2 Manage Medication Lists – which lists?
• S.1.3.1 Provider Access Levels – what identifies – credentials, certifications, licenses, or other?

Other functions will depend upon or relate to other functions, either in the FM or in your profile. Follow the chains of functional dependency to ascertain that details in the chain are appropriate and are captured. Record functional dependencies and other important linkages.

FM Example: S.1.3.1 Provider Access Levels relates to IN.1.2 Entity Authorization.

On a function by function basis, review the conformance criteria once again. Refer to the See Also column and make sure that the conformance criteria that point to another function is referenced in the See Also column. Add the necessary references to other functions in the See Also column. On a function by function basis, read the function and its related function together (e.g., Manage assessments to Support for standard assessments to Support for patient context enabled assessments) using the See Also column. You should get the feeling of completeness or comprehensiveness (e.g., first the system let me set it up, then use it for direct care, then for retrospective decision making). If you don’t, it may imply that there are missing functions or components of existing functions. Revise the functions as necessary.

CONGRATULATIONS. You have created conformance criteria for a function in your profile. By the way, use this exercise of creating criteria as a feedback loop to improve your profile (also the FM) and its conformance criteria: the fewer ambiguities, inconsistencies, etc., in texts, the better.
6. Principles

Apply the following principles when creating profile conformance criteria:

1. Do restrict each conformance criterion to an atomic, simple statement by.
   - Addressing one feature at a time.
   - Keeping each criterion as simple as possible. Multiple single-feature criteria are easier to test than a multi-part criterion. Also, identifying the source of a failure to achieve conformance for a given function is easier when criterion are not multi-part.
   - Group criteria into logical sets. Consider ordering them in a natural progression, beginning with easiest. This makes your document easier to read and later testing and trace-back more sensible (see next item). If an implementation can’t support an ‘easy’ criterion, then it is unlikely to support a more complex one.
   - Ensure traceability of criteria to a function. Each criterion should be directly traceable to wording (name or statement or description) of the function in the FM.
   - Obey conformance clause rules for splitting conformance criteria

2. Do make your profile conformance criteria technology-neutral.

3. Do not change the functionality of the FM. Your profile will refine it.

4. Do not weaken the intent of the criteria with ineffective criteria selection or ordering. When adopting FM criteria into the profile, take care that you don’t alter or weaken the fundamental intent of the function.

5. Do not change a ‘shall’ criterion from the FM to a ‘should’ or ‘may’ criteria in your profile.

6. Do not mix important terminologies.
   - Use an FM Glossary agreed upon by all.
   - Keep the balance of your text self-contained, with as few footnotes and external references as practical.
   - Avoid sets of terms that assign different interpretations to the same words.

7. Do constrain options and allowed values.
   - Describe features, values, attributes, etc. to be measured and indications of success or failure.
   - Examples: … shall offer A, B, or C, and no others
                 … occurs one or more times

8. Do indicate explicit dependencies and constraints.
   - Example: … Gender (’M’, ’F’, or ’NA’) shall be required whenever Person option is used.

9. Do not state how to test.

10. Do use “shall” to signify required, “should” for recommended but not obligatory and “may” for optional, a neutral choice.

11. Do not rely upon formatting or context to convey intentions. For example, instead of employing italic or bold face, use the English imperative “shall”.
    - Example: Not “… this feature is required,” but rather, “… shall require feature A31.”
PART 2: Functional Profile Registration and Balloting

WHAT is it?

Two mechanisms exist to facilitate public awareness of functional profiles:

1. Registration of a functional profile
2. Balloting a functional profile

Both of these activities take place under the auspices of the HL7 EHR Technical Committee (EHR TC).

Registration of a functional profile is a mechanism for listing a conforming functional profile on an HL7 web site. It involves self-attestation of conformance by those submitting the functional profile and a subsequent review by the EHR TC.

Balloting a functional profile is a thorough consensus process in which the functional profile has been subjected to rigorous review. The functional profile is given a designation to indicate it has successfully completed the HL7 ballot process. There are two levels of balloting – technical committee and membership. Balloting occurs first at the technical committee level and if successful and so desired, the TC can conduct a ballot at the HL7 membership level.

WHY Bother?

Registration indicates that the submitter of the functional profile believes that it conforms to the HL7 EHR-S Functional Model. Registration can facilitate the adoption of the profile by making it publicly available for use – to be implemented to be used as the basis of a derived functional profile. Registration can help minimize the proliferation of profiles by making people aware of the ones that exist and thus, minimize the need to create new and different profiles. Basically, reuse rather than create new. Also, having a list of functional profiles can serve as an educational tool – e.g., to be used as examples to those building a functional profile or to show the type of functionality applicable to a particular care setting, application, etc.

Balloting at the TC level indicates that the Technical Committee has formally reviewed and approved the functional profile. This means that the TC believes that the functional profile conforms to the HL7 EHR-S Functional Model and that the functions and criteria contained in the functional model are appropriate. Upon successful completion of the HL7 ballot process, the functional profile would be listed on the HL7 site as an HL7 Informative functional profile. This allows organizations to refer to a consensus based functional profile. It is also a required first step if the goal of the functional profile submitter and/or the TC is to pass membership ballot and become an HL7 normative standard. Only HL7 standards can become ANSI standards and subsequently submitted for consideration as an ISO standard. Note: the ANSI and ISO processes would need to be followed in order to obtain standardization from these organizations.

Balloting at the HL7 membership level indicates that the full HL7 membership has formally reviewed and approved the functional profile. This provides for a wider community to review and formally agree that the functional profile conforms and is appropriate. This is not a trivial process and requires support from the TC to progress the functional profile through the process. Upon successful completion of the HL7 ballot process, the functional profile will be listed on the HL7 site as a HL7 standard. Being a de-jure standard could give the functional profile additional stature and make it eligible for reference in other standards, legislation, etc.
WHO can do it?

Any stakeholders with an interest in the functional profile can register it. Typically, this will be the developers of functional profiles or the organizations taking ownership for the functional profile or a community of interest for using the profile.

Any stakeholder can submit a functional profile to the HL7 EHR TC as an HL7 project proposal for a TC support for ballot submission. Stakeholders are encouraged to work with the TC, helping the TC understand the motivation for the functional profile as well as the rationale for the included functions and criteria. As a functional profile is balloted, it is extremely important to have stakeholder’s interests represented in the TC. Similarly, stakeholders need to work with the TC if the functional profile is to undergo an HL7 membership ballot.

WHEN can it happen?

Registration can occur at any time. Balloting will only occur at specified times and limited to no more than twice a year. The reason for the limit on balloting is that it is a time consuming and often resource intensive event – e.g., requiring structuring of the ballot, recording and resolution of all comments, and other administrative efforts.

HOW to register a functional profile?

Step 1: Complete the checklist provided by the HL7 EHR TC. This checklist is used to document that the functional profile was developed following the Rules for Profiles and that it is a conforming functional profile. Through a self-attestation statement, which is part of the checklist, the submitter declares that the functional profile is a conforming functional profile and specifies the version and date of the Functional Model to which it conforms.

Step 2: Submit the checklist and file (or URL) for the functional profile to the HL7 EHR TC.

Step 3: The submitter is notified that the functional profile was received and has been posted with the label 'Registration Pending'.

Step 4: The EHR TC reviews the checklist and functional profile to ensure that required information is provided and complete. The TC does not evaluate the appropriateness or quality of the functions or criteria in the functional model, but does make sure that the rules for profiles have been followed.

Step 5: The functional profile is listed as Registered.

NOTE: it is anticipated that the registration process will be facilitated via web forms and automated tools to review the submission – though it will initially be manual.

HOW to ballot a functional profile?

Step 1: Make friends with members of the HL7 EHR TC.

Step 2: Provide the functional profile to a HL7 EHR TC chair or member, as part of requesting that it undergo a TC ballot.

Step 3: A formal HL7 project request is prepared for TC review and approval.

Step 4: If the project request is approved, the TC follows the HL7 consensus process. [GET REFERENCE]

Step 5: As the balloting process progresses, the submitter and/or other stakeholders need to stay involved. The success of the ballot depends on this, since it is the stakeholders who can answer
questions that arise from the ballot and may need to modify the functional profile to incorporate the accepted comments.

Step 6. Once all comments are satisfactory resolved, the functional profile is designated as a TC Balloted functional profile.

The process for HL7 membership ballot is basically the same as a TC ballot except this time the pool of eligible voters on the ballot is larger. Again, it is critical that stakeholders stay involved and work with the TC to get a successful result.
PART 3: FAQ and Lessons Learned

The following are taken from questions we have received and lessons learned from profile development groups. In some cases, we share with you the text produced by the profile groups as they figured out how to build their profiles.

1. How do we get started?

   a. You will need the EHR-S Functional Model – in particular, Chapter 2: Conformance Clause and Chapters 3, 4, and 5 – Direct Care, Supportive, and Information Infrastructure.
   b. Decide on the scope, audience and objective of the profile. Start with this so that everyone is on the same page – but revisit it as you develop the profile and modify it, as you see fit.
   c. Pick functions from the FM by reading through all the functions (Chapters 3, 4, and 5)
   d. Assign priorities and criteria to the functions. Some groups like to do this at the same time, other groups assign priorities and then tackle the criteria.
   e. Write the conformance clause. The conformance clause often evolves as you develop the profile, that is, you think about the things to be defined as you encounter them. For example, rules that you want to impose on derived profiles or defining different levels of conformance (e.g., 2 levels: core = a minimal set of functions and advanced = core + an additional set of functions).
   f. Reread and review everything – making sure it is clear, consistent and nothing is missing.

2. What is the difference between priorities and criteria?

   Priorities pertain to the timing of when the function gets implemented by the system. It is a way for you, as profile creators to indicate a timeline or roadmap for implementation.

   Criteria are developed for each function, regardless of its priority. The criteria define what it means to actually implement or support the function within an EHR system. They provide the basis for articulating the features or capabilities of the function. Basically, what you can expect if that function is implemented. The criteria can be designated mandatory or optional. This is done by using they keywords SHALL, SHOULD or MAY.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   | Function 1 | Essential Now (EN) | 1a) Criteria with a SHALL  
   |          |          | 1b) Criteria with a SHALL  
   |          |          | 1c) Criteria with a SHOULD |
   | Function 2 | Essential Future (EF) | 2a) Criteria with a SHALL  
   |          |          | 2b) Criteria with a SHOULD  
   |          |          | 2c) Criteria with a MAY  
   |          |          | 2d) Criteria with a MAY |
   | Function 3 | Optional | 3a Criteria with a SHALL  
   |          |          | 3b) Criteria with a SHOULD  
   |          |          | 3c) Criteria with a MAY |

Function 1 is Essential Now (EN) and an EHR system is required to implement the function and satisfy criteria 1a and 1b. Criteria 1c is optional and may also be satisfied, but there is
no penalty if it is not. On the other hand, the priority Essential Future (EF) makes Function 2 optional – the EHR system does not have to implement this function until the date indicated in the EF definition. However, Essential Future functions can be implemented prior to the date when they are required to be implemented. So, when Function 2 is implemented (now or in the future), criteria 2a needs to be satisfied. The other criteria (2b, 2c, 2d) remain optional. Function 3 is always optional and it follows that if Function 3 is implemented, then criteria 3a needs to be satisfied.

3. Do we assign a priority to each criterion?

No. Priorities are only assigned to a function and is used to indicate the timing of when that function needs to be implemented.

4. How do we determine a function’s priority?

(The following is extracted from a profile group’s development process)
To determine a function’s priority, ask:

- Is this function already being implemented? If yes, how widely across all your stakeholders? If yes, but not widely, why not? What would it take to implement it more widely?
- If the function is not yet being implemented, what would be required to develop and implement it? Is this dependent upon software vendors? If so, do they have it on their roadmap? Is it dependent on development of other relevant standards? Is it dependent on other events or organizations?

Based on these answers: consider

- ‘Optional’, if the function is applicable to only a few of your stakeholders and unlikely to be needed more widely in the near future.
- ‘Essential Future’, if the function is either
  - Implemented in only a few stakeholders now, but is likely to be needed by most organizations in the near future.
  - Likely to be needed by most stakeholders in the near future but not implemented now because necessary software functionality needs to be developed. In this case, define ‘future’ in terms of the time needed for software development and implementation to occur.
  - Likely to be needed by most stakeholders in the future but not implemented now because related supporting standards (i.e., interoperability standards, security standards) are not in place. In this case, define ‘future’ in terms of the necessary standards or other environmental changes that must happen first.
- ‘Essential Now’, if the function is implemented widely now.

5. Are priorities assigned to header functions?

Yes.

5a. Are these priorities inherited by children of the header functions?

Not necessarily. Children functions can have the same or different priorities than their parents. If you want inheritance of priorities, you need to indicate this explicitly. The conformance clause is a good place to state this.
5b. Can I have an EN header function with only one of its children assigned EN?

Yes.

5c. Can I have an EN header function with no children assigned EN, i.e., children with EF or Optional priorities?

Yes. But exercise caution here. Although permissible, we advise you to avoid having all leaf children assigned optional priority. This could result in no functions being implemented or the implementation of a non-standard function (i.e., a function not included in your profile). As an exercise, work through the implications of the priorities you assign to make sure they are what you intend.

5d. Can I have an Optional header function and EN children or children assigned EN, EF and Optional.

Again, yes. This means that if this header function is not implemented, then ignore it and all its children. If it is implemented, then all EN children must be implemented, optional children may or may not be implemented, and EF children depend on the timeframe of the EF as to whether they must be implemented or are optional.

6. Should we inherit all a function’s criteria?

(The following is extracted from a profile group’s development process)
Not necessarily. You must inherit all ‘shall’ criteria that are contained in the functions you have selected from the FM. However, you can pick and choose from the other criteria and you can also change the verb (i.e., ‘should’ to ‘shall’ or ‘may’ and ‘may’ to ‘shall’ or ‘should’). To determine this, ask: Is this criterion
• A ‘must have’? – Make it a ‘shall’.
• Recommended, but not a ‘must have’? – Make it a ‘should’.
• Recommended for most, but a ‘must have’ for some? Make it a ‘should’ and indicate in a note which stakeholders this is a ‘must have’. This will signal those stakeholders that if they create a derived profile, they should consider elevating this to a ‘shall’.
• Recommended, but may not be achievable or useful by all systems? – Make it a ‘should’ and indicate in a note the circumstances.
• Mildly useful to most stakeholders? – Make it a ‘may’.
• A good thing to have and don’t feel strongly about? – Make it a ‘may’.

7. We are creating a generic profile that will be used across diverse settings.

What should we do when functions and/or criteria apply to some organizations but not others?
(The following was extracted from a profile group who is developing a generic profile from which they expect derived profiles to be built.)
The challenge is to set both the priority of the function and its criteria so that it can be applied to the appropriate care settings and/or specialty. The following are two approaches to consider for handling this.

Approach 1
1. Make any functions as 'Optional' if there is wide diversity among types of organizations as to how essential the function is. Include in a notes section the types of organizations for which the function seems Essential and encourage those types of organizations to indicate such if/when they develop their own derived profiles from the more generic one.

   IMPACT:
   a. If instead of Optional an Essential priority is used in the generic profile, all the organizations for which the function is inapplicable will henceforward be required to include it in their EHR.
   b. On occasion, an ‘if/then’ conditional statement to address the variation in need across organizations can be used. However, care needs to be taken to ensure that the ‘if/then’ statement appropriately targets all the organizations or situations the group is trying to capture. Moreover, use of conditional statements can lead to a cumbersome profile that does not read well. For example, a statement such as ‘IF caresetting 1, caresetting 2 and caresetting 3, THEN Function (Essential Now), else Function (Optional).’ Clearly the list of caresettings can get very long and there is a possibility that a care setting is mistakenly left out.

2. Rate any functional criteria as ‘should’ or ‘may’, not ‘shall’, if there is wide diversity among types of organizations as to how applicable and vital the functional criteria are. Include in a notes section the types of organizations for which the functional criteria warrants a ‘shall’ and encourage those types of organizations to indicate such if/when they develop their own derived profiles from the more generic one.

   IMPACT:
   a. If instead of ‘should’ or ‘may’ a ‘shall’ verb is used in the generic profile, all the organizations for which the function is inapplicable will henceforward be required to include it in their HER and stipulate it as a ‘shall’.
   b. On occasion, an ‘if/then’ conditional statement to address the variation in need across organizations can be used. See 1b above for cautions.

Approach 2
1. If there is a wide diversity among types of organizations as to how applicable and essential the function is, then categorize the organizations according to how they differ in their need for the function in question. Then set up copies of the function in question and its functional criteria for each organizational category. Then rate the function and its functional criteria separately for each organizational category.

   IMPACT:
   a. This will result in a hybrid profile that will include some functions of a profile generic to all organizations within a specialty area and some functions of a derived profile that will be more setting-specific.
   b. One of the obvious advantages of this approach is that it will give a head start to types of organizations that will want to develop derived profiles from the generic one. A second advantage is that it will make clear what priority is intended for which types of organizations.
   c. A major disadvantage of this approach involves more work which translates to more time and related resources.

Profile groups may use a combination of approaches, of which these are just two possibilities.
APPENDIX A: Profile Worksheet

Use this worksheet to guide you through the process of creating a profile. We have filled in some of the cells to give you a jump start in completing the required and desired information. Feel free to use it, add to it, modify it, or delete it and input your own information.

**EHR Functional Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conforming to Functional Model (Version &amp; Date)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Care Setting Definition (Informative)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care Category Title</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care Setting Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary point-of-contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization/Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate point-of-contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization/Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Category Definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Settings Definition and Examples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Care Setting Conformance Clause (Informative)

**NOTE**

The Profile conformance clause must:

1. Define the requirements that EHR systems shall satisfy in order to claim conformance to the profile,
2. Define the requirements that profiles derived from the profile (i.e., derived profiles) shall satisfy in order to claim conformance to the profile.
3. Define meaning of priority terms (essential now, essential future, optional, N/A)
4. Specify that functions designated with the priority ‘Essential Now’ shall be implemented by conformant EHR systems.
5. Specify that functions designated with the priority ‘Essential Now’ shall be included in any derived profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope and Field of Application</th>
<th>Normative Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normative Language</strong></td>
<td>The following keywords are used to express conformance requirements in this profile is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Shall – to indicate a mandatory requirement to be followed (implemented) in order to conform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Should – to indicate an optional recommended action, one that is particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May – to indicate an optional, permissible action. Occurrences of these words in uppercase have normative implications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Priorities | **Essential Now** indicates that the implementation of the function is mandatory and SHALL be implemented in EHR systems claiming conformance to this profile. |
|           | **Essential Future** indicates that the function is optional and remains optional for X months after the publication of this profile, where upon the function becomes mandatory and SHALL be implemented in EHR systems claiming conformance to this profile. |
|           | **Optional** indicates that the implementation of the function is optional. |

| Systems claiming conformance to this Profile SHALL | • Implement all functions designated Essential Now. |
|                                                   | • Fulfill (i.e., meet or satisfy) all the SHALL criteria for each implemented function. |

<p>| Systems claiming conformance to this Profile MAY | • Implement functions designated Essential Future. |
|                                                 | • Fulfill any of the SHOULD or MAY criteria associated with an implemented function |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems claiming conformance to this Profile SHALL NOT</th>
<th>• Negate or contradict defined functionality of this profile by including additional functionality beyond what is specified in this profile.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Derived profiles claiming conformance to this Profile SHALL | • Inherit all functions designated Essential Now  
• Inherit all SHALL criteria for functions included in the derived profile  
• Follow the rules for profiles in Chapter 2, Section 6.1 of the HL7 EHR-S Functional Model standard.  
• *(delete if not apply)* Adhere to the rules for creating new functions in Chapter 2, Section 6.3 of the HL7 EHR-S Functional Model standard |
| Derived profiles claiming conformance to this Profile MAY | • Change SHOULD and MAY criteria to SHALL, SHOULD or MAY criteria |
| Derived profiles claiming conformance to this Profile SHALL NOT | • Change the function’s name or statement, except to allow for realignment to realm specific nomenclature.  
• *(delete if not apply)* Create new functions. |
Instructions: Complete the table, adding new rows as necessary. The columns under ‘FM Source’ are used for traceability back to the FM – ID# refers to the FM function ID; Criteria# refers to the FM function’s criteria # or its row #; and Criteria status is either: N/C = no change, A=added, M=modify. For new children functions, the FM Source columns would be blank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Statement/Description</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>See Also</th>
<th>Conformance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Statement:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendices

Appendix A – Realm Specific Nomenclature

Appendix B – Domain/Care Setting Terminology/Glossary
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